|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Evaluation › Evaluation Form (*Geran Penjanaan*)** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Evaluation Details** |
| |  |  | | --- | --- | | Evaluation Level | School | | Evaluator |  | | Project Title |  | | Project Leader |  | |
| **Summary of Assessment** |
| |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | **Very Poor** | **Poor** | **Acceptable** | **Good** | **Very Good** | | **1-2** | **3-4** | **5-6** | **7-8** | **9-10** | |
| |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | **Assessment Criteria** | **Score**  **(1-10)** | | **Actual**  **Score** | **Comments (Compulsory if score given Very Poor/Poor)** | | **Title (5%)** |  | |  |  | | Specific in nature reflecting fundamental issues to be resolved/novelty |  | | | | Brief and reflects the content of the proposal | | **Research Background (20%)** |  | |  |  | | Elaboration of title |  | | | | Clarity of problem statement and research question/hypothesis/theoretical framework (if applicable) | | Cited most recent (last 5 years) related references |  | | | | In line with government policy, national agenda and global aspiration (can help alleviate problem at local, national or world level) | | **Objectives (20%)** |  |  | |  | | Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic and within Time-frame (SMART) |  | | | | Relate to problem statement/research question | | **Research Methodology (25%)** |  |  | |  | | Clear and detailed description of methodology (may consist of field work, sampling techniques, interview session, analysis, lab work of different phases, experimental protocol, statistical analysis) |  | | | | Able to achieve research objectives | | Include research design, flow chart, Gantt chart, activities and milestones | | **Expected Result/Benefit (10%)** |  |  | |  | | New theory or new findings/knowledge |  | | | | Publication in indexed journals (top tier) | | Impact on society, economy and nation | | Intelectual property |  | | **Track Record and Composition of Team (5%)** |  |  | |  | | Evidence of previous successful research projects |  | | | | Qualification and rank of researchers | | Well balanced team |  | | | | **Quality of Proposal (10%)** |  |  | |  | | Meticulous |  | | | | Proper use of language (grammer, spelling, sentence construction) | | Good formatting and presentation | | **Elements of Applied Criteria (5%)** |  |  | |  | | Novel, cutting edge, high impact |  | | | | Examples provided | | **Total-Main** |  |  | |  | |
| |  | | --- | |  | |
| **Recommended Funding** |
| |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | |  | **Poor** | **Inadequate** | **Acceptable** | **Good** | **Very Good** | | **Appropriateness of Cost Estimates** |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | **Cost Category** | **Proposed Funding (RM)** | **Recommended Funding (RM)** | | Vot 11000 - Salary and Wages |  |  | | Vot 21000 - Travelling and Transportation**(Maximum 40%)** |  |  | | Vot 24000 - Rental |  |  | | Vot 27000 - Research Materials and Supplies |  |  | | Vot 28000 - Maintenance |  |  | | Vot 29000 - Professional Services |  |  | | Vot 35000 - Accessories and Equipment **(Maximum 40%)** |  |  | | **Total** |  |  | | |

|  |
| --- |
| **Recommendation To RMC** |
|  |
| |  |  |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | | Recommendation | |  | | --- | | Recommended | | Not Recommended | | Re-submission | | |  |  | | Overall Remark |  | |

**Note: Calculation of actual score**

= Score X Total Score X % of each assesment criteria

= 7 X 10 X 5% (Title)

= 3.5