|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | **Evaluation › Evaluation Form (*Geran Penjanaan*)** |

|  |
| --- |
| **Evaluation Details** |
|

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Evaluation Level | School |
| Evaluator |  |
| Project Title |  |
| Project Leader |  |

 |
| **Summary of Assessment** |
|

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Very Poor** | **Poor** | **Acceptable** | **Good** | **Very Good** |
| **1-2** | **3-4** | **5-6** | **7-8** | **9-10** |

 |
|

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Assessment Criteria** | **Score****(1-10)** | **Actual****Score** | **Comments (Compulsory if score given Very Poor/Poor)** |
| **Title (5%)** |  |  |  |
| Specific in nature reflecting fundamental issues to be resolved/novelty |  |
| Brief and reflects the content of the proposal |
| **Research Background (20%)** |  |  |  |
| Elaboration of title |  |
| Clarity of problem statement and research question/hypothesis/theoretical framework (if applicable) |
| Cited most recent (last 5 years) related references |  |
| In line with government policy, national agenda and global aspiration (can help alleviate problem at local, national or world level) |
| **Objectives (20%)** |  |  |  |
| Specific, Measurable, Achievable, Realistic and within Time-frame (SMART) |  |
| Relate to problem statement/research question |
| **Research Methodology (25%)** |  |  |  |
| Clear and detailed description of methodology (may consist of field work, sampling techniques, interview session, analysis, lab work of different phases, experimental protocol, statistical analysis) |  |
| Able to achieve research objectives |
| Include research design, flow chart, Gantt chart, activities and milestones |
| **Expected Result/Benefit (10%)** |  |  |  |
| New theory or new findings/knowledge |  |
| Publication in indexed journals (top tier) |
| Impact on society, economy and nation |
| Intelectual property |  |
| **Track Record and Composition of Team (5%)** |  |  |  |
| Evidence of previous successful research projects |  |
| Qualification and rank of researchers |
| Well balanced team |  |
| **Quality of Proposal (10%)** |  |  |  |
| Meticulous |  |
| Proper use of language (grammer, spelling, sentence construction) |
| Good formatting and presentation |
| **Elements of Applied Criteria (5%)** |  |  |  |
| Novel, cutting edge, high impact |  |
| Examples provided |
| **Total-Main** |  |  |  |

 |
|

|  |
| --- |
|  |

 |
| **Recommended Funding** |
|

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **Poor** | **Inadequate** | **Acceptable** | **Good** | **Very Good** |
| **Appropriateness of Cost Estimates** |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
|  **Cost Category** | **Proposed Funding (RM)** | **Recommended Funding (RM)** |
| Vot 11000 - Salary and Wages |  |  |
| Vot 21000 - Travelling and Transportation**(Maximum 40%)** |  |  |
| Vot 24000 - Rental |  |  |
| Vot 27000 - Research Materials and Supplies |  |  |
| Vot 28000 - Maintenance  |  |  |
| Vot 29000 - Professional Services |  |  |
| Vot 35000 - Accessories and Equipment **(Maximum 40%)** |  |  |
| **Total** |  |  |

 |

 |

|  |
| --- |
| **Recommendation To RMC** |
|  |
|

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Recommendation |

|  |
| --- |
| Recommended |
| Not Recommended |
| Re-submission |

 |
|  |  |
| Overall Remark |  |

 |

**Note: Calculation of actual score**

= Score X Total Score X % of each assesment criteria

= 7 X 10 X 5% (Title)

= 3.5